Austral Entomology

Austral Entomology (2020) 59, 505–523

Description of *Emeryus* Zacca, Mielke & Casagrande gen. nov. (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae) to accommodate three species formerly placed in *Paryphthimoides* Forster, 1964

Thamara Zacca,^{1*} ^[1] Mirna M Casagrande,² Olaf H H Mielke,² Blanca Huertas,³ Eduardo P Barbosa,¹ ^[1] André V L Freitas¹ and Keith R Willmott⁴

¹Department of Animal Biology and Museum of Zoology, Biology Institute, Laboratório de Ecologia e Sistemática de Borboletas, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, São Paulo Brazil. ²Department of Zoology, Laboratório de Estudos de Lepidoptera Neotropical, Universidade Federal do Paraná, Curitiba,

²Department of Zoology, Laboratorio de Estudos de Lepidoptera Neotropical, Universidade Federal do Paraná, Curitil Paraná Brazil.

³Department of Life Sciences, Natural History Museum, London, UK.

⁴*McGuire Center for Lepidoptera and Biodiversity, Florida Museum of Natural History, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA.*

Thamara Zacca: http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:EBE99273-B02D-4A17-AD52-5BB3DFBA6C85 Mirna M Casagrande: http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:241FC1DB-F7FF-4480-A648-D72644538822 Olaf H H Mielke: http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:4E0FFE51-850C-4E51-AC9A-6B5552377C0B http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:3D9FBA40-DC58-42BC-B2C1-DB207D878850

Abstract A new genus of Euptychiina, *Emeryus* Zacca, Casagrande & Mielke, gen. nov., is herein described using an integrative taxonomic approach to accommodate three species previously placed in *Paryphthimoides* Forster, 1964: *Emeryus argulus argulus* (Godart, [1824]), comb. nov. (type species), *Emeryus difficilis* (Forster, 1964), comb. nov. and *Emeryus numeria* (C. Felder & R. Felder, 1867), comb. nov. Additionally, a new taxon *Emeryus argulus magnum Zacca*, Casagrande & Mielke, ssp. nov. from Venezuela (Aragua and Bolívar) and Brazil (Roraima), is described. A neotype is designated for *Satyrus argulus* Godart, [1824], and lectotypes are designated for *Euptychia huebneri* Butler, 1867, *Euptychia ambigua* Butler, 1867 and *Neonympha numeria* C. Felder & R. Felder, 1867. Female genitalia are described and illustrated for the first time for all of the above taxa. A Maximum Likelihood analysis using combined nuclear and mitochondrial genes supports the monophyly of the new genus. No DNA sequences could be obtained for *E. numeria*, but its inclusion in the genus is supported by morphological evidence. The genitalic morphology of both sexes of *Emeryus* species is helpful in distinguishing this genus from the other Euptychiina, although the male genitalia structures are highly conserved among congeners.

Key words Euptychiina, integrative taxonomy, Neotropical region, new subspecies, phylogeny, Satyrini, South America, systematics.

INTRODUCTION

Satyrinae are one the most diverse subfamilies of nymphalid butterflies in terms of habitat, ecology and morphology with more than 200 genera and 2400 species distributed in all continents (except Antarctica) from sea level up to 4000 m (Ackery *et al.* 1999; Lamas 2004; Wahlberg *et al.* 2009; Peña *et al.* 2010). Adults of Satyrinae are characterised by having the discal cell closed by tubular veins in both wings, and the bases of the subcostal, cubitus and anal veins are inflated (Weymer 1910–1913; Ackery 1998), while the larvae have bifid anal projections and feed mostly on Poaceae and Cyperaceae (Poales), except for a few groups on Marantaceae, Arecaceae, Selaginellaceae and Lycopodiaceae (DeVries 1987; Freitas *et al.* 2019).

*zacca.butterfly@gmail.com Version online published on 01 September 2020

© 2020 Australian Entomological Society

Among the satyrines, Euptychiina has been considered one of the most taxonomically challenging subtribes due to the drab wing colour patterns of many species and their small size, which has led this group to be historically neglected. However, collaborative efforts of researchers around the world have resulted in a number of publications during the last five years on the taxonomy and systematics of this subtribe, and as a result, the group is now much better understood. The subtribe now comprises 56 genera and 428 described species, mainly distributed in the lowlands across the Neotropical region, with only a few species in the Nearctic and Palearctic regions (e.g. Lamas 2004; Brévignon 2005; Peña & Lamas 2005; Peña et al. 2010, 2011; Freitas et al. 2011, 2012, 2013, 2015, 2016, 2018; Brévignon & Benmesbah 2012; Matos-Maraví et al. 2013; Zacca et al. 2013, 2014, 2017; Nakahara et al. 2015a, 2015b, 2016a, 2016b, 2018, 2019; Barbosa et al. 2015, 2016; Costa et al. 2016; Henao-Bañol & Meneses 2017; Andrade-C et al. 2019;

Henao-Bañol 2019; Nakahara *et al.* 2019a, 2019b). It is estimated that many new genera and species still need to be described, which should further increase the current known diversity of Euptychiina species by up to 20% (Nakahara *et al.* 2018; Espeland *et al.* 2019).

A detailed study by Zacca *et al.* (2020) on the systematics of the genus *Paryphthimoides* Forster 1964 using data from morphology, mitochondrial and nuclear DNA, and geographic distribution has demonstrated that the genus, as previously circumscribed by Lamas (2004) is polyphyletic. As result, a new taxonomic arrangement was proposed, which excluded nine species from *Paryphthimoides*. The current study uses a similar integrative taxonomic approach including morphological, distributional, mitochondrial and nuclear genes data to erect a new genus for three of the species previous placed in *Paryphthimoides*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Morphological data

Selected male and female specimens had their abdomen detached and soaked in a heated test tube with 10% potassium hydroxide solution (KOH) for about five minutes to facilitate the dissection of the genitalia. Dissected specimens are marked with an asterisk after the voucher number in the 'Material examined' sections. Images of genitalia were obtained in Leica LAS 3D view and LAS montage version 4.7 with the aid of a video camera Leica DFC500 attached to a stereoscopic microscope Leica MZ16. Illustrations were prepared with the aid of a camera lucida attached to a stereoscopic microscope and subsequently vectorised by using the software GIMP version 2.8.10 (GIMP Team 2019).

Terminology of the genitalia follows mostly Klots (1970), but the term 'gnathos' (= brachia) is applied *sensu* Pierce (1914), 'fultura superior' and 'fultura inferior' (= juxta) *sensu* Petersen (1904) and 'combination of ventral arm of tegumen and dorsal arm of saccus' (= vinculum) *sensu* Austin and Mielke (2008). The nomenclature of the wing venation mostly follows Comstock and Needham (1898–1899) and Comstock (1918), and for broad regions of the wing, we follow Neild (1996). The following abbreviations are used throughout the text: VW – ventral wings, DFW – dorsal forewings, VFW – ventral forewings, VHW – ventral hindwings and '*n*' – number of examined specimens.

Distributional data

Locality data were obtained from specimens deposited in collections and publications and were used to produce a distribution map using QGIS software (QGIS Development Team 2016). Information inferred from abbreviations on specimens labels is enclosed in square brackets. We treated allopatric taxa with no consistent differences in genitalia but consistent differences in wing pattern as subspecies (see Mallet 1995, 2001; Braby *et al.* 2012).

Molecular data

DNA was extracted from two legs of adults using Quiagen's DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit following the manufacturer's protocol. DNA was stored in TE buffer at -20° C. The mitochondrial gene cytochrome *c* oxidase I (COI – 1498 bp) (for all specimens), and the nuclear genes glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH – 691 bp) and ribosomal protein S5 (RpS5 – 610 bp) (for some specimens of *Emeryus argulus*) were amplified, purified and sequenced using standard techniques (see Silva-Brandão *et al.* 2005; Wahlberg and Wheat 2008; Barbosa *et al.* 2015), and the 13 new resulting sequences were deposited in GenBank (Benson *et al.*2013) (see Table 1 for accession numbers). Attempts to sequence several older specimens of *Emeryus numeria* and *E. argulus magnum* ssp. nov. from the DZUP collection were unsuccessful.

New sequences were viewed and edited with FinchTV v. 1.4.0 software (Geospiza, PerkinElmer Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). Sequences obtained from GenBank and new ones provided in the present study were aligned using the ClustalW algorithm (Thompson et al., 1994) in Mega X (Kumar et al. 2018). The final concatenated matrix comprised 55 specimens from species of 19 genera, including 15 specimens of Emeryus gen. nov. (see Table 1 for the voucher numbers and accession codes), with a total of 2799 base pairs. A Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree was inferred in IQ-Tree v1.6.9 software (Nguyen et al. 2015), using the edge-linked partition (-spp) (Chernomor et al. 2016), with 1000 likelihood searches in each of the 10 runs performed. Three partitions were used in our study, as follows: COI (1-1448 sites), GAPDH (1449-2188) and RpS5 (2189-2799). ModelFinder (-m MFP) was used to find the best-fit model for each partition (Kalvaanamoorthy et al. 2017), which resulted in GTR+F+I+G4 for COI and SYM+I+G4 for both GAPDH and RpS5. To estimate branch support, we used 1000 ultrafast bootstrap searches, optimised by the nearest neighbour interchange (-bnni) to reduce the risk of overestimating branch supports (Hoang et al. 2018), and 1000 SH-aRLT replications (Guindon et al. 2010). The unrooted tree with the lowest negative log likelihood was selected as the best tree and is used to discuss results.

Genetic distances among the species of *Emeryus* gen. nov. were determined based on COI using the program MEGA v. 6.0 (Tamura *et al.* 2013) under the Kimura-2-parameters (K2P) model of nucleotide substitution (Kimura 1980).

Sources of material examined

We examined 202 specimens, including types, from several public and private collections cited throughout the text by the following acronyms:

DZUP	Pe. Jesus de Santiago Moure collection,							
	Universidade Federal do Paraná, Paraná,							
	Brazil							
FLMNH	McGuire Center for Lepidoptera and Bio-							
	diversity, Florida Museum of Natural							
	History, University of Florida,							
	Gainesville, Florida, USA							

Table 1 Species of Euptychiina used in the phylogenetic analysis, specimen voucher codes, sampling site data and GenBank accession numbers for sequenced genes

Species	Voucher	Locality	COI	GAPDH	RpS5
Oressinoma sorata	CP06-89	Peru, Pasco, Oxapampa	GQ357209	GQ357440	GQ357570
Atlanteuptychia ernestina	YPH-0191	Brazil, São Paulo, Serra do Japi	KP994863	KU340904	KU340937
Cyllopsis pertepida	NW165-3	Mexico, Guanajuato, Mpio. San Felipe/León 8 km NE El Zuaco	GQ357204	GQ357428	GQ357557
Paramacera xicaque	CP15-08	Mexico, D.F., Magdalena Contreras, Cañada de los Dinamos	GQ357210	GQ357442	GQ357571
Euptychia boulleti	PM17-01	Brazil, Rio de Janeiro, Itatiaia	JQ639284	JQ639286	JQ639287
Euptychia mollina	YPH-0154	Brazil, Pará, Tapajós	KP890210	KU340902	KU340935
Euptychia mollina	YPH-0155	Brazil, Pará, Tapajós	MT246303	MT258823	
Cissia proba	CP01-30	Peru, Madre de Dios, Tambopata Research Center	GQ864751	GQ864945	GQ865416
Cissia pompilia	MAL-02577	Mexico, Yucatán, Valladolid, carretera a Xocén	GU659737		
Cissia rubricata	LEP-19315	USA, Arizona, Southwestern Research Station, Portal	MG209757	MG546314	MG546327
Cissia penelope	CP07-58	Peru, Junín, La Solitaria-Quebrada Siete Jeringas	GU205833	GU205945	GU206005
Cissia phronius	NW126-7	Brazil, São Paulo, Atibaia	DQ338807	GU205973	GU206034
Cissia eous	YPH-0422	Brazil, Rio Grande do Sul, Alegrete	KU340872	KU340920	KU340955
'Cissia' confusa	DHJ-02	Costa Rica, Area de Conservación Guanacaste, Sector Pitilla, SenderoTierra Blanca	JQ526869		—
'Cissia' myncea	NW108-6	Brazil, São Paulo, Ubatuba, Picinguaba	DQ338581	GQ357427	GQ357556
Godartiana muscosa	NW127-8	Brazil, São Paulo, Jundiai, Serra do Japi	DQ338582	GQ864974	GQ865443
Godartiana armilla	PM04-02	Brazil, Brasília, Parque Nacional de Brasília	JQ392582	JQ392816	JQ392921
Pindis squamistriga	NW165-5	Mexico, Penjamo, 9 km W Penjamo, Mpio. Penjamo	GQ357211	GQ357445	GQ357574
Paryphthimoides poltys	CP02-19	Peru, Madre de Díos, Tambopata Research Center	GU205861	GU205972	GU206033
Paryphthimoides vestigiata	YPH-0779	Brazil, São Paulo, São Luís do Paraitinga	MH581137	MH581140	MH581145
Sepona punctata	YPH-0240	Brazil, Rondônia, Porto Velho, Abunã	KR349480	KR349476	
Sepona punctata	CP23-21	Peru, La Convención	JQ392607	JQ392838	JQ392943
Taygetis virgilia	PM02-03	Colombia, Antioquia, Porcé, Amalfi	JQ392700	JQ392912	JQ393021
Taygetis laches	PM04-13	Brazil, Para, Xingu	JQ392659	JQ392878	JQ392988
Posttaygetis penelea	CP01-06	Peru, Madre de Díos, Tambopata Research Center	JQ392620		JQ392955
Forsterinaria necys	NW126-10	Brazil, São Paulo, Campinas, Ribeirão das Pedras	GU205837	GU205950	JQ392927
Forsterinaria boliviana	CP04-88	Peru, Junín, Quebrada Siete Jeringas	DQ338799	GQ357435	GQ357564
Capronnieria galesus	NW167-5	Brazil, Santa Catarina, Apiúna, Parque Nacional da Serra do Itajai	GU205826	GU205938	GU205998
Erichthodes narapa	YPH-0233	Brazil, Minas Gerais, Santana do Riacho, Serra do Cipó	MK260016	MK260030	MK260038
Erichthodes narapa	YPH-0274	Brazil, Minas Gerais, Santana do Riacho, Serra do Cipó	MK260017	MK260031	
Nhambikuara mima	MGCL-LOAN-175	Brazil, Alagoas, Ibateguara	MF489993		
Nhambikuara mima	MGCL-LOAN-550	Brazil, Rondônia, Porto Velho	MF489994	MF490009	MF490025
Nhambikuara cerradensis	YPH-0573	Brazil, Minas Gerais, Santana do Riacho	MF489985	MF490005	MF490018
Nhambikuara cerradensis	YPH-0574	Brazil, Minas Gerais, Santana do Riacho	MF489986	MF490006	MF490019
Magneuptychia modesta	LEP-08985	Ecuador, Morona-Santiago, Wachirpas airfield	MT246304		
Magneuptychia fugitiva	CP01-18	Peru, Madre de Díos	GU205845	GU205958	GU206017
Yphthimoides mimula	YPH-00095	Brazil, Mato Grosso do Sul, Três Lagoas	KR349477	KR349473	KR349471
Yphthimoides mimula	YPH-00098	Brazil, Mato Grosso do Sul, Três Lagoas	KT880200	KT880209	KT880214
Yphthimoides affinis	YPH-00080	Brazil, São Paulo, Luís Antônio, Reserva Jataí	MN264766	MN264880	MN311531
Yphthimoides affinis	YPH-00082	Brazil, São Paulo, Luís Antônio, Reserva Jataí	MF489978	MF489998	MF490011
Emeryus difficilis	MGCL-LOAN-288*	Brazil, Mato Grosso, Cachoeira Sete Quedas, Rio Teles Pires	MK659558	MN414201	_
Emeryus difficilis	PM01-28	Brazil, Tocantins, Xambiá, Rio Araguaia	MF084835		
Emeryus difficilis	YPH-0652*	Brazil, Rondônia, Pimenta Bueno	MK260018		
Emeryus difficilis	YPH-0653*	Brazil, Mato Grosso, Jangada	MK260019		
Emeryus difficilis	YPH-0654*	Brazil, Rondônia, Pimenta Bueno	MK260020		
Emeryus difficilis	YPH-0655*	Brazil, Mato Grosso, Jangada	MK260021		
Emeryus difficilis	YPH-0659*	Brazil, Mato Grosso, Jangada	MK260022	—	_
Emeryus argulus	YPH-0591*	Brazil, Roraima, Caracaraí, Parque Nacional Viruá	MK260023	MK260032	MK260039
Emeryus argulus	YPH-0592*	Brazil, Roraima, Caracaraí, Parque Nacional Viruá	MK260024	MK260033	MK260040
Emeryus argulus	YPH-0614*	Brazil, Minas Gerais, Santana do Riacho, Serra do Cipó	MK260025		_
Emeryus argulus	YPH-0625*	Brazil, Amazonas, Manaus	MK260026	MK260034	
Emeryus argulus	YPH-0627*	Brazil, Amazonas, Presidente Figueiredo	MK260027	MK260035	_
Emeryus argulus	YPH-0684*	Brazil, Border Mato Grosso-Pará, Fazenda Cristalina, BR-163	MK260028	MK260036	MK260041
Emeryus argulus	YPH-0685*		MK260029	MK260037	MK260042

(Continues)

508 T Zacca et al.

Table 1 (Continued)

Species	Voucher	Locality	COI	GAPDH	RpS5				
Emeryus argulus	Brazil, Border Mat Cristalina, BR-163 PM01-26 Brazil, Tocantins, S	MF084836							
—, missing data; *	, new sequences obtained for the present study.								
LBCB	Lalita & Christian Brévignon collecti Matoury, Guyane, France	on,							
MNHN	Muséum national d'Histoire nature Paris, France								
MUSM	Museo de Historia Natural, Universio Nacional Mayor de San Marcos, Lin	had Molecular data	^{ad} Molecular data ^{a,}						
NHMUK	Peru Natural History Museum, Lond United Kingdom	A Maximum Likelihood analysis (ML) using combined nuclea and mitochondrial genes strongly supported the monophyly o <i>Emergy</i> gen nov (ultrafast bootstrap support [ubs] = 100							
OM	Olaf H. H. Mielke collection, Para Brazil	ná, SH-aLRT support: 100) (Fig of the ' <i>Splendeuptychia</i> cla	i, SH-aLRT support: 100) (Fig. 1), which appeared as a member of the ' <i>Splendeuptychia</i> clade' <i>sensu</i> Espeland <i>et al.</i> (2019)						
RBINS	Royal Belgian Institute of Natural S ences, Brussels, Belgium	 <i>E. argulus</i> (ubs = 99.7; SH-aLRT = 100) and <i>Emeryus difficu</i> (ubs = 96.6; SH-aLRT = 99) are also reciprocally monophyle with high support (Fig. 1). Interspecific genetic distance ranged from 7.8% to 10.4 							
ZSMS	Zoologische Staatssammlung Münch Munich, Germany								
USNM	Smithsonian National Museum of Na ral History, Washington D. C., USA	tu- between individuals of <i>E</i> . Fig. 11). Intraspecific	<i>argulus</i> and genetic dist	<i>E. difficilis</i> tances amo	(Table 2; ong eight				
ZUEC	Museu de Zoologia da Universida Estadual de Campinas, São Paulo, Bra	ade individuals of <i>E. argulus</i> ra azil ied from 0.0% to 6.2% am	nged from (ong six indi	0.0% to 6.8% ividuals of <i>1</i>	% and var- E. <i>difficilis</i>				
ZUEC-AVLF	André V. L. Freitas collection, Museu Zoologia da Universidade Estadual Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil	de (Table 2). de	5						



Fig. 1. Maximum likelihood tree (log-likelihood: -21957.9648) showing the genetic relationships among *Emeryus* gen. nov. and other species of Euptychina based on COI, GAPDH and RpS5 genes. Numbers below the branches indicate SH-aRLT and ultrafast bootstrap support values.

Table 2Pairwise genetic distances (%) for COI sequences amongspecies of *Emeryus* gen. nov.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 11	12 13
1. PM01-28 Emeryus difficilis											
(sprinkled VW phenotype)											
2. YPH-0654 Emeryus difficilis	5.8										
(sprinkled VW phenotype)											
3. YPH-0652 Emeryus difficilis	5.8	0.0									
(sprinkled VW phenotype)											
4. YPH-0655 Emeryus difficilis	6.2	1.4	1.4								
5. YPH-0659 Emeryus difficilis	5.8	1.4	1.4	0.4							
6. YPH-0653 Emeryus difficilis	5.8	1.4	1.4	0.4	0.0						
(sprinkled VW phenotype)											
7. YPH-0627 Emeryus argulus	9.4	9.89	9.8	9.8	9.4	9.4					
8. YPH-0625 Emeryus argulus	9.4	9.89	9.8	9.8	9.4	9.4	0.0				
9. YPH-0591 Emeryus argulus	9.0	9.49	9.4	9.4	9.0	9.0	0.7	0.7			
10. YPH-0592 Emeryus argulus	9.2	9.69	9.6	9.6	9.2	9.2	0.9	0.9	0.2		
11. YPH-0684 Emeryus argulus	8.0	7.8	7.8	8.2	7.8	7.8	5.8	5.8	5.4	5.6	
12. YPH-0685 Emeryus argulus	8.6	8.28	3.2	8.8	8.4	8.4	6.0	6.0	5.6	5.80.5	5
13. PM01-26 Emeryus argulus 1	0.0	9.49	9.4	9.8	9.4	9.4	6.4	6.4	6.2	6.42.7	2.9
14. YPH-0614 Emeryus argulus 1	0.4	9.89	9.81	0.2	9.8	9.8	6.8	6.8	6.6	6.83.1	3.30.4

TAXONOMY

Emeryus Zacca, Casagrande & Mielke, gen. nov.

http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:7FCF24D1-338F-4484-BD15-F84A6E9772A8

Type species: *Satyrus argulus* Godart, [1824] (designated by authors)

Diagnosis

Among the genera of Euptychiina, species of *Emeryus* resemble species of *Paryphthimoides* in their wing pattern elements, coloration (Fig. 2) and venation (Fig. 3a,b), but they can be easily distinguished by the following characters: (1) glabrous eyes (hairy in *Parypthimoides*), (2) male genitalia with thin and elongated appendices angularis (short and broad at the base in *Paryphthimoides*), trapezoidal valva with apex elongated, without dorsal projection (dorsal projection developed in *Paryphthimoides*) and vesica without cornuti (present in



Fig. 2. Species of *Emeryus* gen. nov. dorsal and ventral. (a–d) *Emeryus argulus argulus*: (a,b) male neotype of *Satyrus argulus* (DZ 35.230), (c,d) female (DZ 34.524). (e–h) *Emeryus argulus magnum* spp. nov.: (e,f) male holotype, dorsal (DZ 35.260), (g) female (DZ 25.666). (i–p) *Emeryus difficilis*: (i,j) male (DZ 31.211), (k,l) female (DZ 30.023), (m,n) male (DZ 28.448), (o,p) female (DZ 35.581). (q–t) *Emeryus numeria*: (q,r) male (DZ 31.182), (s,t) female (DZ 28.431). Scale bar = 1 cm.

Paryphthimoides) and (3) female genitalia with wrinkled and sclerotised lamella antevaginalis and papilla anales with posterior apophysis (absent in *Paryphthimoides*).

Description

Wings. Forewings sub-triangular, costa slightly convex, apex rounded, external margin slightly convex without indentations and inner margin straight. Ground colour brown without ocelli on dorsal wings. Ventral forewings with four narrow lines (median, submedian, marginal and submarginal), submarginal line well-crenulated, three to five small ocelli between M₁-CuA₁, M₁-CuA₂ and M₁-2A. Hindwings sub-oval, somewhat longer than wide, costa convex, apex rounded, external margin convex with indentations, inner margin straight. Ventral hindwings with five to six ocelli from Rs-CuA₂ or Rs-2A, ocelli in Rs-M₁, M₁-M₂ and CuA₁-CuA₂ with black ocellar spot surrounded by yellowish ocellar ring and two tiny silver pupils inside, ocelli in M₂-CuA₂ with lighter ocellar spot and large silver pupils inside.

Venation. Forewings with veins Sc, CuA and 2A swollen at base, discal cell half-length of entire length of wing. Hindwings with discal cell half-length of entire length of wing, humeral vein developed and m_1-m_2 slightly convex (Fig. 4).

Male genitalia. Tegumen convex in dorsal view, laterally subtriangular. Uncus sinuous, about 2/3 length of tegumen, apex curved downwards and truncated in dorsal view. Gnathos curved upwards, sinuous, 2/3 length of uncus, larger at base and tapering at apex. Combination of ventral arms of tegumen and dorsal arms of saccus sinuous. Appendices angularis present. Anterior projection of saccus cylindrical with variable size. Fultura inferior sclerotised in V-shape. Fultura superior absent. Valva elongated and rectangular and covered by long hair-like scales latero-ventrally and short hair-like scales at inner side; costa developed and sub-squared; dorsal margin sinuous with apical third slightly serrated; ventral margin slightly projected at median region; apex variable. Aedeagus straight and of variable size; anterior region oblong; posterior region with variable size; distal margin dorsally truncated, with an inner sclerotised triangular projection in lateral view, which is bipartite in ventral view; distal ventral opening almost same length as proximal opening; vesica without cornuti.

Female genitalia. Eighth tergite rectangular. Papilla anales elliptical, varying in size, covered with long hair-like scales at distal region; posterior apophysis present. Lateral plate (probably derived from eighth sternite) separated from eighth tergite and lamella antevaginalis. Lamella antevaginalis sclerotised, varying in shape, with a wrinkled appearance. Lamella postvaginalis absent. Ductus bursae membranous; corpus bursae about same length as ductus bursae, with paired signa of variable location.

Etymology

The generic name is in honour of the deceased Brazilian lepidopterist Eduardo Oliveira Emery (05 February 1972 to 25 August 2017), who made a number of contributions to the knowledge of the butterflies and moths of the Brazilian Cerrado biome. It is a masculine Latin noun in the nominative case.

Systematics checklist

Emeryus Zacca, Casagrande & Mielke gen. nov.

Emeryus argulus (Godart, [1824] comb. nov.

- Emeryus argulus argulus (Godart, 1824) comb. nov.
- = Euptychia huebneri Butler, 1867.
- *Emeryus argulus magnum Zacca*, Casagrande & Mielke ssp. nov.
- Emeryus difficilis (Forster, 1964) comb. nov.
- Emeryus numeria (C. Felder & R. Felder, 1867) comb. nov.
 - *= Euptychia ambigua* Butler, 1867.
 - = Euptychia historie Weymer, 1911 [nom. nud.]

Diagnosis

Emeryus argulus is the only species in *Emeryus* with submedian and median lines wider than the submarginal and marginal lines on both VFW and VHW (they have the same width in the other species of the genus). Furthermore, the outer margin of the HW is crenulated, and the submarginal ocelli are all well marked and developed compared to the other species of *Emeryus*.

Emeryus argulus argulus (Godart, [1824]), comb. nov.

(Figs 2a-d, 3a, 4a-b, 5a-l, 8a-b, 9-10)

- *Papilio argante* Cramer, 1779: 19, pl. 204, figs C, D; syntypes: Surinam [preocc. Fabricius, 1775].
- Megisto argante; Hübner [1819]: 54.
- *Euptychia argante*; Westwood 1851 *in* Doubleday: 374. Butler 1867: 472. – Butler 1868: 21. – Butler 1869: 13; syn. *perfuscata*. – Kirby 1871: 49. – Butler 1877: 118. – Sharpe, 1890: 568. – Weymer, 1911: 202. – Gaede 1931: 438. – Hall 1939: 34.
- *Satyrus argulus* Godart, [1824]: 463, 488; syntype: Suriname. Neotype here designated: Brazil, Pará, Santa Bárbara do Pará; DZUP.
- *Paryphthimoides argulus*; Lamas 2004: 221. Barlow *et al.* 2008: 1099, 1102. Brévignon 2008: 79, figs 61a–61c (male: adult, genitalia).
- *Euptychia huebneri* Butler, 1867: 473, pl. 39, fig. 11; syntypes: Brazil, Pará. Lectotype here designated: Brazil, Pará; NHMUK. – Sharpe, 1890: 568.

Material examined

22 $\overline{\bigcirc}$, 22 \bigcirc (4 specimens dissected). GUYANA – *no specific locality*: 1 $\overline{\bigcirc}$, 2 \bigcirc , no data, Parish *leg.*, Joicey Bequest Brits. Mus. 1934-120, BMNH(E) 1422121, BMNH(E) 1422128, BMNH(E) 1422345 (NHMUK); *Essequibo*: Demerara river, 2 $\overline{\bigcirc}$, no data, Bates *leg.*, Godman-Salvin Coll. 1904-1, BMNH(E) 1422158, BMNH(E) 1422189 (NHMUK); *Potaro-Siparuni*: Ireng River, Cipo, [4°48′59″N 60°1′30″W], 610 m, 2 $\overline{\bigcirc}$, IX. [no year], S. Fratello *leg.*, FLMNH-MGCL-297366, FLMNH-MGCL-297367 (FLMNH); *Upper Demerara-Berbice*: Essequibo River,

35 mi SW of Georgetown, Shanklands Resort, [6°28'44"N 58° 34'54"W], 20 m, 1♀, 20-28 IX.2006, M. G. Douglas leg., FLMNH-MGCL-297368 (FLMNH); Upper Takutu-Upper Essequibo: E. Kanuku Mountains, Two Hat Mountain, [3°8'48"N 59°6′54″W], 244 m, 1♀, 17.IX-2.X.2000, S. Fratello et al *leg*. (FLMNH). SURINAME – no specific locality: $1 \checkmark$, no data, BMNH(E) 1422127 (NHMUK), 3 females, ex-coll. Fruhstorfer, BMNH(E) 1422159, BMNH(E) 1422097, BMNH(E) 1422035 (NHMUK); Berg en Daal: 1[♀], IV.1892, C. W. Ellacombe leg., Godman-Salvin Coll. 1904-1, BMNH(E) 1422066 (NHMUK). FRENCH GUIANA - Cayenne: Malmanoury, [5°19'02"N 52° 52'16"W], 13, 19.X.1999, C. Faynel leg. (LBCB). BRAZIL - Amazonas: Barcelos, Rio Aracá, Foz do Rio Curuduri, 0°05' 50"S 63°17'22"W, 13, 15-19.VI.2010, Mielke & Casagrande leg., DZ 22.288* (DZUP), Barcelos, Campina Tucano, 0°22' 5.46"S 62°55'21.96"W, 1₽, 27.V.2017, M. Marín leg., DNA voucher YPH-0625, ZUEC-LEP 10658 (ZUEC), Boca do Aracá, 0°25'1.51"S 62°54'25.72"W, 17, 24.V.2017, A. Rosa leg., DNA voucher YPH-0627, ZUEC-LEP 10656* (ZUEC); *Roraima*: Caracaraí, Parque Nacional de Viruá, $23^{,}, 29^{,}, 20.V^{-}$ 19.VI.2015, M. B. Graça leg., DNA voucher YPH-0592, ZUEC-LEP 10657, DNA voucher YPH-0591, ZUEC-LEP 10655*, ZUEC-LEP 10654, ZUEC-LEP 10653 (ZUEC); Pará: no specific locality, 17, no data, Hewitson coll. 79-69, BMNH(-E) 1422283 (NHMUK), $1 \checkmark$, $1 \Leftrightarrow$, no data, H. W. Bates *leg.*, Godman-Salvin Coll. 1904-1, BMNH(E) 1422252, BMNH(E) 1422067 (NHMUK), Belém, Utinga, 3², 25.XI.1959, H. Ebert leg., DZ 35.180, DZ 35.220, DZ 35.270 (DZUP), Faro, Companhia Mineradora Santarém, 1∂, 19.VII.1973, K. Brown leg., ZUEC-LEP 10652 (ZUEC), Santa Bárbara do Pará, 37, 4♀, 2-3.II.2010, Carneiro, Dolibaina, Dias & Moreira leg., DZ 33.894*, DZ 33.895* (with first instar cephalic capsule inside), DZ 33.896*, DZ 35.133, DZ 35.200, DZ 35.230, DZ 35.240 (DZUP), Santarém, $2\sqrt[3]{}$, $2\stackrel{\bigcirc}{+}$, no data, H. H. Smith *leg.*, Godman-Salvin Coll. 1904-1, BMNH(E) 1422314, BMNH(E) 1422221, BMNH(E) 1422190, BMNH(E) 1422098 (NHMUK); Pará-Mato Grosso: Fazenda Cristalina, 17, 2.XI.2016, R. Rogner leg, DNA voucher YPH-0685, ZUEC-LEP 10660* (ZUEC), 13, 5.XI.2016, no collector, DNA voucher YPH-0684, ZUEC-LEP 10659* (ZUEC); Tocantins: Ilha Barreira Branca, Santa Fé do Araguaia, 6°43'S 48°48'W, 1♂, 19. VI.2009, no collector, DNA Voucher PM 01-26 (ZUEC -AVLF); Maranhão: Imperatriz, 2[♀], 17.VII.1974, Exc. Dept. Zoologia, DZ 34.234, DZ 34.524 (DZUP).

Diagnosis

This subspecies differs from *Emeryus argulus magnum* ssp. nov. by its darker ground colour and reduced ocelli (well-developed in *E. argulus magnum* ssp. nov.) on the VW.

Remarks

Satyrus argulus Godart, [1824] was described based on an unstated number of specimens from Suriname. The description of this species is vague, not precisely defining *S. argulus*, as is the case with many other Euptychina species described by Jean Baptiste Godart (1775-1825). However, Godart stated that his

name Satyrus argulus also applied to the specimen illustrated by Cramer (1779: pl. 204, fig C-D) as Papilio argante, which we had no success in locating at NHMUK and which name is preoccupied by Papilio argante Fabricius, 1775 (currently Phoebis argante, Pieridae). The syntypes of S. argulus were not found at the MNHN by OHHM and AVLF and the curator (R. Rougerie, pers. comm.), nor at NHMUK, where part of Godart's collection is deposited (except those taxa described from the Dufresne collection that are deposited in the Royal Museum Edinburgh, Scotland, UK - see Grimshaw 1897). Considering that the identity of this name is important for Euptychiina taxonomy, mainly because its wing pattern resembles many species in this subtribe, we herein designate a neotype for Satvrus argulus. The designated neotype (Fig. 2a,b) agrees with Godart's original description and Cramer's illustration and is deposited in the DZUP; it has the following labels: /Neotypus/2-3-II-2010 Sta [Santa] Barbara do Pará, Pará, [Brazil], [coordinates: -1.224; -48.294], Carneiro, Dolibaina, Dias & Moreira leg./DZ 35.230/Neotypus Satvrus argulus Godart [1824]. T. Zacca, det. 2016/. DZUP.

Hübner ([1819]) treated Satyrus argulus in Megisto Hübner [1819] together with M. cymela (Cramer, 1777), M. eurydice (Fabricius, 1775) (currently a synonym of M. cymela) and 'M. acmenis' (Hübner, 1823) (currently in Yphthimoides Forster 1964). However, Satyrus argulus differs in several morphological respects from other currently recognised Megisto, including having hairy eyes (glabrous in Megisto), the HW with a crenulated outer margin (not crenulated in Megisto) and male genitalia characters. Butler (1869) regarded his name Euptychia perfuscata Butler 1868 (currently in Yphthimoides) as a synonym of Papilio argante Cramer, thereby implying that this name should be treated in Emeryus. Cardé et al. (1970) did not examine the type of E. perfuscata, and Lee D. Miller (in litt. in Cardé et al. 1970) suggested that it was closely related to Satyrus grimon Godart [1824]. Lamas (2004), however, correctly treated it as Yphthimoides maepius perfuscata (Butler 1868), and synonymised E. huebneri Butler 1867 with Paryphthimoides argulus (Godart 1824).

Euptychia huebneri Butler, 1867 was described based on an unstated number of specimens from Pará, Brazil. Two syntypes, one male and one female, were found at the NHMUK collection; the male specimen (Fig. 4a) agrees with the illustration provided by Butler (1867: pl. 39, fig. 11), and this specimen is herein designated as the lectotype of *Euptychia huebneri* to fix the identity of the name, since its wing pattern resembles that of other Euptychian species. The male lectotype has the following labels: /Type H. T./Type of species/Para, L.[ower] Amazons, H. W. Bates/B. M. Type No Rh 3220 *Euptychia hubneri* [sic] ♂[¬] Butl[er]./Godman-Salvin Coll. 1904. – 1. *Euptychia hubneri* [sic] Butl[er]./huebneri [handwritten]/*Euptychia huebneri* Butler Monog[raph] [handwritten]/BMNH(E) 1204751/; two others will be added later: /Lectotypus/Lectotypus *Euptychia huebneri* Butler 1867. T. Zacca, det. 2016/. NHMUK.

Male genitalia. Only differs from characteristics listed in description section of *Emeryus* by the uncus being slightly sinuous and valva with apex pointed (Fig.5).



Fig. 3. Wing venation of *Emeryus argulus argulus* showing the venation pattern typical of *Emeryus* species. (a) Male, (b) female. Abbreviations: hv = humeral vein, Sc = subcostal vein, R = radial vein, M = medial vein, CuA = cubital anterior vein, A = anal vein.



Fig. 4. Type specimens and respective labels. (a). lectotype male of *Euptychia huebneri*; (b) holotype male of *Paryphthimoides difficilis*; (c) allotype female of *Paryphthimoides difficilis*; (d) lectotype male of *Euptychia ambigua*.



Fig. 5. Wing pattern and male genitalia variation in *Emeryus argulus*. (a–c) Amazonas, Brazil (DNA voucher YPH-0627). (d–f) Roraima, Brazil (DNA voucher YPH-0591), (g–i) Mato Grosso, Brazil (DNA voucher YPH-0684), (j–l) Mato Grosso, Brazil (DNA voucher YPH-0685). Scale bar = 1 cm.

Female genitalia. Only differs from characteristics listed in description section of *Emeryus* by papilla anales being broad at mid-ventral region, with a reduced ventral projection, posterior apophysis reduced and lamella antevaginalis ovoid and with lateral expansion at apical third in ventral view (Fig 8a,b).

Variation

In general, females are larger (FW length: 21-22 mm, n = 10) than males (FW length: 20-21 mm, n = 10; NT: 20 mm). A small incomplete ocellus can be present in CuA₂-2A on VHW, and the pupils of the ocellus in Rs-M₁ can be very close to each other giving the impression of only one pupil.

Ecology and distribution

This subspecies is restricted to South America, being found in Venezuela (Amazonas), Guyana (Essequibo), Suriname (Berg en Daal), French Guiana (Cayenne) and Brazil (Amazonas, Roraima, Pará, Tocantins and Maranhão) at altitudes below 150 m (Fig. 9 and Suppl. Mat. S1). It is also expected to occur in Colombia. According to the data gathered from specimens deposited in collections and reported in the literature, this species flies between February and November. It occurs in secondary forests (Brévignon 2008) and white-sand savanna with 'campina' vegetation) (Fig. 10), although it was also reported as abundant at fruit baits in *Eucalyptus* plantation forests in Pará (Barlow *et al.* 2008). Hostplant and immature stages are unknown.

Emeryus argulus magnum Zacca, Casagrande & Mielke, ssp. nov.

(Figs 2e-h, 9)

http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:62E4F4F8-AFAD-4AF0-8225-855CBC053659

Yphthimoides argyrospila (Butler 1867) [misidentification]; Mielke and Casagrande 1992: 180. – Mielke and Casagrande 1998: 469, appendix 6.

Material examined

Holotype

Male with the following labels: /Holotypus/23-28.II.1988, [coordinates 3.416°–61.666°], Ilha de Maracá, Alto Alegre, RR [Roraima], Mielke & Casagrande *leg./Yphthimoides argyrospila* (Butler 1867), Mielke & Casagrande det. 1989/DZ 25.626/ Holotypus *Emeryus argulus magnum Zacca, Casagrande & Mielke* det. 2018/. DZUP.

Paratypes

- 8♂, 7♀ (3 specimens dissected). VENEZUELA - *Aragua*: Parque Nacional Henri Pittier, Rancho Grande, [10°20'58"N 67°41'3"W], 1125 m, 2♀, 21.VII.1979, J. B. Sullivan *leg.*, FLMNH-MGCL-297369, FLMNH-MGCL-297370 (FLMNH); *Bolivar*: Santa Elena do Uairen, 850 m, 1♀, 18.VII.2009, Mielke & Casagrande *leg.*, DZ 33.897* (DZUP). BRAZIL - *Roraima*: Ilha do Maracá, Alto Alegre, 2♂, 24-31.VIII.1987, Mielke & Casagrande *leg.*, DZ 35.260, DZ 5.545* (DZUP), 5♂, 5♀, 23-28.II.1988, DZ 35.143, DZ 25.616, DZ 25.646, DZ 25.676, DZ 25.706, DZ 25.686, DZ 25.696, DZ 25.666 (DZUP), Serra dos Surucucus, 1♀, 16.XI.1979, Gifford *leg.*, DZ 25.656 (DZUP), Amajari, Tepequén, 620 m, 1♀, 14-16.VII.2009, Mielke & Casagrande *leg.*, DZ 35.210 (DZUP), Pacaraima, 800 m, 2♂, 1♀, 28-30.V.1988, Mielke & Casagrande *leg.*, DZ 35.190, DZ 35.250, DZ 5.544* (DZUP).

Diagnosis

This subspecies differs from *Emeryus argulus argulus* by the paler VW and well-developed (occupying the entire cell) ventral ocelli on both wings (Fig. 2f,h).

Variation

Females are slightly larger (FW length: 21-23 mm, n = 7; AT: 21 mm) than males (FW length: 18-20 mm, n = 7; HT: 20 mm). A small incomplete ocellus may be present in CuA₂-2A on VHW, and the pupils of the ocellus in Rs-M₁ can be very close to each other, giving the impression of only one pupil.

Ecology and distribution

This subspecies is only known to occur in Venezuela (Aragua and Bolívar) and Brazil (Roraima) (Fig. 9 and Suppl. Mat. S1). It occurs in association with forested areas and dry savannas, at altitudes up to 1125 m. Data from specimens in collections and literature suggest that this taxon flies throughout the year. Hostplant and immature stages are unknown.

Emeryus difficilis (Forster, 1964), comb. nov.

(Figs 2i-p, 3b-c, 6, 8c-d, 9)

Paryphthimoides difficilis Forster, 1964: 107, fig. 108 (male genitalia), pl. 30, figs 11-12 (male); holotype: Río Yacuma, Santa Rosa, Bolivia; ZSM. – Lamas 2004: 221.

Material examined

57 $^{\circ}$, 46 $^{\circ}$ (14 specimens dissected). BOLIVIA – *El Beni*: Paraparau, [13°49′29″S, 64°26′15″W], 147 m, 1∂, 1♀, 10. V.2004, W. Aliaga leg., MUSM-LEP-104126, MUSM-LEP-104129 (MUSM), 1♀, 16.V.2004, MUSM-LEP-104127 (MUSM), 1[♀], 8.V.2004, MUSM-LEP-104128 (MUSM). BRA-ZIL – Rondônia: Pimenta Bueno, 1° , 1° , no data, M. P. Guimarães leg., DNA voucher YPH-0652, ZUEC-LEP 10675, DNA voucher YPH-0654, ZUEC-LEP 10676* (ZUEC); Tocantins: Rio Araguaia, Xambioá, 6°26'S, 48°34'W, 13, 30. VII.2009, no collector, DNA voucher PM 01-28 (ZUEC-AVLF); Maranhão: Imperatriz, 17, 9.VII.1974, Ex[pedition] Dept. Zoologia, DZ 24.429 (DZUP), 1², 18.VII.1974, DZ 28.430* (DZUP), 17, 29.VII.1974, DZ 28.432* (DZUP). Mato Grosso: Alta Floresta, Cachoeira Sete Quedas, 17, 21.IV.2009, no collector, MGCL-LOAN-288 (ZUEC), Alto Araguaia, 1º, 11. XII.2009, R. Dell'Erba & E. D. Zillmer leg., ZUEC-LEP 8556 (ZUEC), Alto Araguaia, 35 Km NW, Alto Garças road, 4∂, 1[♀], 28.VI.1971, K. Brown *leg.*, ZUEC-LEP 10674, ZUEC-LEP 10670, ZUEC-LEP 10669, ZUEC-LEP 10667, ZUEC-LEP 10665 (ZUEC), Alto Rio Paraguai, Barra do Bugres, 150 m, 17, 26-29.VII.1974, H. & H. D. Ebert leg., DZ 28.455* (DZUP), Chapada dos Guimarães, Buriti, 700 m, 1∂, 1♀, 27.VIII.1978, ex-coll. H. Ebert, DZ 28.449, DZ 28.450 (DZUP), 1[♀], 26.V.1969, K. Brown *leg.*, ZUEC-LEP 10673 (ZUEC), Cárceres, 1∂, 1♀, 9-11.XI.1984, Buzzi, Mielke, Elias & Casagrande leg., DZ 28.426*, DZ 38.023* (with first instar cephalic capsule inside) (DZUP), Diamantino, Fazenda São João, 1º, 16.I.1978, Mielke & Furtado leg., DZ 36.902 (DZUP), 13, 28.IV.1978, H. & H. D. Ebert leg., DZ 28.428 (DZUP), 1[♀], 5.V.1978, H. & H. D. Ebert leg., DZ 28.438 (DZUP), 300-400 m, 1♂, 2♀, 1-8.VIII.1974, H. Ebert leg., DZ 28. 446, DZ 28.452, DZ 28.446 (DZUP), 1∂, 1♀, 24.VII.1975, DZ 28.442, DZ 28.441 (DZUP), 37, 27. VII.1975, DZ 28.451*, DZ 25.705, DZ 28.437 (DZUP), 1∂, 5.X.1975, E. Furtado leg., DZ 28.436 (DZUP), 1∂, 1♀, 28. IV.1978, DZ 28.439*, DZ 28.435 (DZUP), 1♂7, 4.V.1978, E. Furtado leg., DZ 28.445 (DZUP), 27, 11.VIII.1978, H. Ebert *leg.*, DZ 28.457, DZ 28.443 (DZUP), 1[♀], 14.VIII.1978, DZ 28.453 (DZUP), 3♂, 3♀, 17.VIII.1978, DZ 28.434, DZ 28.454, DZ 28.444, DZ 28.447, DZ 28.440, DZ 28.434 (DZUP), Jangada, 4^{\nearrow} , 2^{\bigcirc} , 8.I.2010, G. Both & G. Noleto *leg.*, ZUEC-LEP 8602, ZUEC-LEP 8627, ZUEC-LEP 8642, ZUEC-LEP 8599, ZUEC-LEP 8669, ZUEC-LEP 8718 (ZUEC), 3♂, 3♀, 09.I.2010, G. Both & G. Noleto *leg.*, ZUEC-LEP 8662, ZUEC-LEP 8600, ZUEC-LEP 8589, ZUEC-LEP 8665, ZUEC-LEP 8666, ZUEC-LEP 8672 (ZUEC), 23^{-} , 3° , 10. I.2010, G. Both & G, Noleto leg., DNA voucher YPH-0659, ZUEC-LEP 10661*, ZUEC-LEP 8667, DNA voucher YPH-0656, ZUEC-LEP 10678, ZUEC-LEP 8611, ZUEC-LEP 8656 (ZUEC), 2∂, 4^Q, 11.I.2010, G. Both & G, Noleto *leg.*, DNA voucher YPH-0657, ZUEC-LEP 10664, DNA voucher YPH-0658, ZUEC-LEP 10663, DNA voucher YPH-0655, ZUEC-LEP 10662*, ZUEC-LEP 8593, ZUEC-LEP 8614, ZUEC-LEP8652 (ZUEC), 3♂, 3♀, 12.I.2010, G. Both & G. Noleto leg., ZUEC-LEP 8644, ZUEC-LEP 8605, ZUEC-LEP

8606. ZUEC-LEP 8668. ZUEC-LEP 8620. ZUEC-LEP 8659 (ZUEC), 17, 18.VIII.2010, G. R. Soares leg., DNA voucher YPH-0653, ZUEC-LEP 10677* (ZUEC), Nova Xavantina, Bacaba, UNIMAT, 1♀, 17–19.VIII.1997, Mielke leg., DZ 35.581 (DZUP), Xavantina, 27, 11.I.1977, ex-coll. Gifford, DZ 31.151, DZ 31.211 (DZUP); Mato Grosso do Sul: Três Lagoas, 17, 9.XI.2007, A. Freitas leg. (ZUEC), 20°45'S 51°40′W, 23, 17.VIII.2007, M. Uehara-Prado leg., ZUEC-LEP 5264, ZUEC-LEP 5262 (ZUEC), 1∂, 1♀, 18. VIII.2007, M. Uehara-Prado leg., ZUEC-LEP 5273, ZUEC-LEP 5274 (ZUEC); Goiás: Goiás Velho, 17, 15. VI.1976, D. Gifford leg., DZ 31.201 (DZUP), Iporá, 22 km W, 420 m, 1^Q, 21.VI.1972, Mielke & Brown leg., DZ 28.456* (DZUP), 3[♀], K. Brown leg., ZUEC-LEP 10671, ZUEC-LEP 10668, ZUEC-LEP 10666 (ZUEC), 26 km W, 420 m, 12, 21. VI.1972, Mielke & Brown leg., DZ 28.427 (DZUP), Alto Araguaia, Jataí, Mineiros road Km 123, 17°23'23.50"S 52°38' 53.74"W, 1♀, 19.V.1969, K. Brown leg., ZUEC-LEP 10672 (ZUEC), Serra Dourada, $[14^{\circ}40'S 49^{\circ}16'W]$, 4° , 4° , no date, C. J. Callaghan leg., FLMNH-MGCL-297357, FLMNH-MGCL-297358, FLMNH-MGCL-297359, FLMNH-MGCL-297360, FLMNH-MGCL-297361, FLMNH-MGCL-297362, FLMNH-MGCL-297364, FLMNH-MGCL-297365 (FLMNH); Minas Gerais: Corinto, 17, 10.X.1979, C. Elias leg., BC-DZ Unicamp 2017, DZ 28.448 (DZUP). PERU - Madre de Dios: Río Heath, Pampas del Heath, [12°30'S 68°39'W], 200 m, 17, 28.XI-14.XII.2011, J. Cerdeña leg., MUSM-LEP-104125 (MUSM).

Diagnosis

This species resembles *E. numeria* but differs from it by the VW having a darker ground colour, the median and submarginal lines being more crenulated and the lateral expansion of the lamella antevaginalis being broader and reaching the eighth tergite (Fig. 8c,d). The wing pattern of *E. difficilis* resembles that of some species of *Hermeuptychia* (see Seraphim *et al.* 2013), but the species can be distinguished by the absence of a male androconial patch on the DFW in *E. difficilis* (also absent in some *Hermeuptychia*), the dark line on the lower disco cellular vein on both VW (present in *Hermeuptychia*), absence of the ocellus at CuA₂-2A on the VHW (present in *Hermeuptychia*), and the submedian, median and marginal lines being crenulated on the VHW, as well as the outer margin (straight in *Hermeuptychia*).

Remarks

Forster (1964) described *Paryphthimoides difficilis* based on a male holotype from Santa Rosa, Beni, Bolivia (Fig. 4b). A female specimen labelled as the allotype of *P. difficilis* from Rio Yacuma, Espiritu, Beni (Fig. 4c), was also found at the ZSMS (where Forster's types are deposited); this specimen was not mentioned in the original description, but it is possible Forster missed including this information, since the handwritten label is similar to that of other specimens described by Forster (i.e. *Euptychia hannemanni* Forster, 1964, *Euptychoides pseudosaturnus* Forster 1964). Lamas (2004) followed Forster's

taxonomy for this species, and no taxonomic changes have been proposed until the present study. *Emeryus difficilis* seems to be rare in collections, and it has not been mentioned in inventories or lists compiled in localities where the species is very likely to occur (Brown and Mielke 1967; Motta 2002; Emery *et al.* 2006; Pinheiro and Emery 2006; Silva *et al.* 2010; Queiroz-Santos *et al.* 2016).

Male genitalia. Only differs from characteristics listed in description section of *Emeryus* by uncus about 2/3 length of tegumen and dorsally elliptical, appendix angularis curved inwards, anterior projection of saccus short valva with apex pointed and aedeagus same length as valva (Fig. 6).

Female genitalia. Only differs from characteristics listed in description section of *Emeryus* by papilla anales narrow at mid-ventral region, posterior apophysis reduced and curved upwards, lamella antevaginalis trapezoidal corpus bursae with paired signa latero-ventrally (Fig. 8c,d).

Variation

There is slight sexual dimorphism with females (FW length: 20-23 mm, n = 5) being larger than males (FW length: 17-20 mm, n = 5). The wing phenotype can vary among individuals collected in dry and wet seasons throughout the distribution; in the former, ventral wings are covered by lighter flecked scales which gives a 'sprinkled pattern' to the wing (Figs 2m-p and 6a,d). However, in transitional months (August to October), individuals can be observed displaying both wing phenotypes. The male and female genitalia can exhibit some variation in their morphology (Fig. 6). The combination of the ventral arms of tegumen and dorsal arms of saccus can vary in the degree of sinuosity, and the aedeagus can be smaller than the valva in some observed individuals. In the female genitalia, the apophysis posterior of the papilla anales can vary in size, and the corpus bursae can be longer than the ductus bursae.

Ecology and distribution

This species has been found in the province of Beni (Bolivia) and in the states of Rondônia, Tocantins, Maranhão, Mato Grosso, Mato Grosso do Sul, Goiás and Minas Gerais (Brazil) in association with open savannah, primary and secondary growth vegetation and riparian areas (Fig. 9 and Suppl. Mat. S1). This species apparently flies throughout the year. Hostplant and immature stages are unknown.

Emeryus numeria (C. Felder & R. Felder, 1867), comb. nov.

(Figs 2q-t, 3d, 7, 8e,f, 9)

Neonympha numeria C. Felder & R. Felder, 1867: 478; syntypes: [Brazil] Bahia; Lectotype here designated: [Brazil] Bahia; NHMUK.



Fig. 6. Wing pattern and male genitalia variation in *Emeryus difficilis.* (a–c) Mato Grosso, Brazil (DNA voucher YPH-0653), (d–f) Rondônia, Brazil (DNA voucher YPH-0654), (g–i) Mato Grosso, Brazil (DNA voucher YPH-0655), (j–l) Mato Grosso, Brazil (DNA voucher YPH-0659). Scale bar = 1 cm.

Euptychia ambigua Butler, 1867: 472, fig. 10; syntypes: [Brazil] Rio de Janeiro; Lectotype here designated: [Brazil] Rio de Janeiro; NHMUK. – Butler 1877: 123. – Bryk 1953: 61.

Material examined

13 $\overline{\circ}$, 10 $\widehat{\ominus}$ (3 specimens dissected). BRAZIL – *Piaui*: Piracuruca, Parque Nacional Sete Cidades, 2 $\overline{\circ}$, 18-21.IV.2012, O. Mielke & Casagrande *leg.*, DZ 31.181, DZ 31.191 (DZUP); *Pernambuco*: Camaragibe, 1 $\widehat{\ominus}$, 4.VIII.1957, ex-coll. H. Ebert, DZ 31.162* (DZUP), 1 $\overline{\circ}$, 3.X.1957, DZ 31.202 (DZUP), 1 $\overline{\circ}$, 7.IX.1959, DZ 31.183 (DZUP), Igarassu, 1 $\widehat{\ominus}$, no data, Rotschild Bequest B. M. 1939-1, BMNH(E) 1422088 (NHMUK), Recife, Várzea, 25 m, 2 $\widehat{\ominus}$, 25.IX.1957, ex-coll. H. Ebert, DZ 34.795, DZ 31.163 (DZUP), 1 $\overline{\circ}$, 6.X.1957, ex-coll. H. Ebert, DZ 31.161* (DZUP), 1 $\overline{\circ}$, 27.VIII.1960, DZ 31.172 (DZUP), 1 $\overline{\circ}$, 13. X.1960, DZ 31.173 (DZUP), 1 $\widehat{\ominus}$, 7.X.1961, Ebert *leg.*, DZ

© 2020 Australian Entomological Society

31.171* (DZUP), 1♂, 7.X.1961, DZ 31.203 (DZUP), 1♂, 7. X.1969, Ebert *leg.*, DZ 31.193 (DZUP), São Lourenço da Mata, 1♂, 1♀, 15.VII.1973, Mielke & Kesselring *leg.*, DZ 28.431, DZ 31.182 (DZUP), Tiuma, 100 m, 1♂, 3.VIII.1958, H. Ebert *leg.*, DZ 34.805 (DZUP), 1♀, 20.VII.1958, DZ 25.636 (DZUP), 1♀, 8.XI.1958, DZ 31.212 (DZUP), 1♂, 27.VII.1959, Ebert *leg.*, DZ 31.200 (DZUP), 1♀, 26.V.1960, DZ 31.152 (DZUP), 1♂, 15.II.1969, DZ 31.153 (DZUP), 1♀, 5.VIII.1969, DZ 31.213 (DZUP).

Diagnosis

This species resembles *Emeryus difficilis* in its wings pattern, but it can be distinguished from that species by the larger ocelli on VHW and the less crenulated (almost straight) median line on the VFW and VHW.



Fig. 7. Male genitalia of Emeryus numeria (DZ 31.183): (a) lateral, (b) dorsal, (c) ventral, (d) aedeagus, lateral, (e) aedeagus, dorsal.

Remarks

Neonympha numeria was described based on an unstated number of specimens from Bahia, Brazil. One male syntype was found at NHMUK, and it is herein designated as the lectotype of *Neonympha numeria* (Fig. 4d) to fix the identity of the name, since its wing pattern resembles that of other Euptychiina species. This male lectotype has the following labels: /Felder Coll [ectio]n/Bahia, Luschnath type/*numeria* Feld[er]/Rothschild Bequest B.M. 1939-1/ /Type rightarrow N. *numeria* Feld. = rightarrow of E. *ambigua* Butl[er]. comp[ared]. w[ith]. type, 10.XII.12. All

ocelli identical. Butler's $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\rightarrow}$ has transverse lines on underside rather more wavy [handwritten]/BMNH(E) 1422119/; and two others labels will be added later: /Lectotype/ Lectotype*Neonympha numeria* C. Felder & R. Felder, 1867. T. Zacca, det. 2016/NHMUK. Another female specimen from Iguarassu, Pernambuco, Brazil, was also found at the NHMUK, but this specimen is not part of the type series.

Euptychia ambigua Butler 1867 was described based on an unstated number of specimens from Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. One syntype without an abdomen (but apparently a female based



Fig. 8. Female genitalia of *Emeryus argulus argulus* (DZ 33.895): (a) lateral, (b) ventral. *Emeryus difficilis* (DZ 38.023): (c) lateral, (d) ventral. *E. numeria* (DZ 28.430): (e) lateral, (f) ventral.



Fig. 9. Map showing the distribution of Emeryus gen. nov. species. '*' = no specific locality.



Fig. 10. Emeryus argulus argulus: (a) live adult in Surama, Guyana (photo: D. Geale). White-sand savanna with typical 'campina' vegetation in Barcelos, Amazonas, Brazil: (b) Campina Tucano (photo: R. Ramos), (c,d) Boca do Aracá (photos: R. Ramos (c), A. Rosa (d)).

on the rounded FW) was found at NHMUK (Fig. 4d), and this specimen is herein designated as the lectotype of *E. ambigua* to fix the identity of the name, since its wing pattern resembles that of other Euptychian species. This lectotype specimen has the following labels: /Type/*Euptychia ambigua* Butler Monog

[raph]/B. M. TYPE No Rh 3212 *Euptychia ambigua* ♂ Butl [er]/Rio de Janeiro, Pres by Mrs Smith 52-52/Rio/BMNH(E) 1267065/; and two others will be added later: /Lectotype/Lectotype *Euptychia ambigua* Butler 1867. T. Zacca, det. 2016/NHMUK.



Fig. 11. Intraspecific (grey bars) and interspecific (black bars) frequency distribution (%) of pairwise individual genetic distances based on the COI in *Emeryus argulus* and *Emeryus difficilis*.

Male genitalia. Only differs from characteristics listed in description section of *Emeryus* by uncus being about 2/3 length of tegumen and dorsally elliptical, anterior projection of saccus almost same length as gnathos, valva with apex wide, aedeagus smaller than valva with posterior region about 1 ½ times longer than anterior region (Fig. 7).

Female genitalia. Only differs from characteristics listed in description section of *Emeryus* by lamella antevaginalis being trapezoidal (Fig. 8e,f).

Variation

There is slight sexual dimorphism with females (FW length: 20-21 mm, n = 5) larger than males (FW length: 17-19 mm, n = 5).

Ecology and distribution

This species is widely distributed in north-eastern Brazil (Piauí, Pernambuco and Bahia), with a single record supposedly from Rio de Janeiro (the lectotype of *E. ambigua*) (Fig. 9 and Suppl. Mat. S1). It occurs in association with forested and open vegetation areas in the Atlantic forest, from sea level to 200 m. Data from specimens in collections and literature suggest that *E. numeria* flies from February to October. Hostplant and immature stages are unknown.

DISCUSSION

Our molecular phylogeny together with morphological evidence shows *Emeryus* is a well-supported clade, comprising three species, *Emeryus argulus* comb. nov., *E. difficilis* comb. nov. and *E. numeria* comb. nov., distinct from the type species of *Paryphthimoides*, *P. poltys* (Prittwitz, 1865). The three species of *Emeryus* were never sampled nor included in previous molecular phylogenies of Euptychiina (Murray & Prowell 2005; Peña *et al.* 2006, 2010; Wahlberg *et al.* 2009; Marín *et al.* 2017; Espeland *et al.* 2019). The relationship between *E. argulus* and *E. difficilis* might change with the inclusion of *E. numeria* in future molecular analysis, since our attempt to sequence the DNA of this species was unsuccessful. In the present study, *E. numeria* is included in the genus based only on morphological evidence.

Additional molecular phylogenies inferred by us and our colleagues using much more extensive sampling of >400 species of euptychiines (E. Barbosa, M. Espeland & K. Willmott unpub. data) also support the monophyly of *Emeryus*, and the lack of an obvious close sister group could be evidence of rapid evolution that potentially only genomic data at the subtribe level might resolve. Notably, this situation is not exclusive to *Emeryus* with similar cases for other recently described small Euptychiina genera, such as *Cristalinaia* (see Freitas *et al.* 2019) and *Amiga* (see Nakahara *et al.* 2019a).

The low number of specimens of *Emeryus* in collections is likely partly related to the drab colouration and small size of its species, which may prove unpopular with collectors. Nevertheless, species of *Emeryus* are easily captured in fruit baited traps, so inventories of butterflies should include these methods to enhance studies of the spatio-temporal variation of *Emeryus* species and other frequently overlooked euptychiine butterflies.

Structures of the male genitalia in butterflies have considerable taxonomic value, being useful for the delimitation of taxa at generic and specific levels in Euptychiina (e.g. Forster 1964; Freitas et al. 2016, 2018; Zacca et al. 2013, 2018). The morphology of the male and female genitalia of Emeryus species is indeed helpful in distinguishing the genus from other genera of Euptychiina. However, male genitalia structures are highly conserved among the species of Emeryus, a similar condition also reported in the F. boliviana-group of Forsterinaria (Peña and Lamas 2005). On the other hand, wing pattern characters and the morphology of the female genitalia (e.g. the wrinkled appearance of the sclerotised lamella antevaginalis and the posterior projection and shape of the papilla anales) allow easy recognition and delimitation of the species of Emeryus. However, the marked seasonal variation in the wing pattern of E. difficilis, which can impede its prompt recognition, is a phenomenon also reported in other Euptychiina species from the Brazilian seasonal savannahs (Freitas 2007; Freitas et al. 2010; Siewert et al. 2013; Zacca et al. 2014; Freitas et al. 2016; Barbosa et al. 2018) and that should be investigated in more detail.

In the case of *E. argulus*, the homogeneity of the genitalia morphology in association with the allopatric distribution and other differences in the wing pattern led us to describe the new subspecies *E. argulus magnum* which is restricted to Brazil (Roraima) and Venezuela (Aragua and Bolívar). Furthermore, *Emeryus argulus argulus* and *E. argulus magnum* differ in several ecological aspects. *Emeryus argulus argulus* seems to be restricted to lowlands areas at altitudes up to 150 m, while *E. argulus magnum* has a broad altitudinal range (200– 1125 m). While *E. argulus magnum* is restricted to dry savanna areas in the Amazon basin (except by the record in Aragua, Venezuela), *E. argulus argulus* seems to be more associated with forested areas in the Amazon, as well as the Iwokrama forests that harbour a mixture of Guianan and Amazonian fauna and flora (Clarke *et al.* 2001), in addition to white-sand savanna with 'campina' vegetation. Interestingly, some habitats where *E. argulus argulus* occurs in Brazil (Amazonas, Barcelos) (Fig 10b–d) and Guyana (Surama) are areas that are flooded during the rainy seasons (Clarke *et al.* 2001; R. Ramos, *pers. comm.*). Unfortunately, we did not have success in sequencing DNA from individuals of this subspecies, likely due to preservation of available specimens in naphthalene or phenol and their age, a similar problem also observed in sampled specimens of *E. numeria*.

A high level of variation was observed in the ground colour of the wings, and the shape and size of the ventral ocelli of some specimens of E. argulus argulus from Barcelos, Amazonas, Brazil (Fig. 10b-d). Two distinct wing pattern phenotypes were observed in the population of Barcelos, but we did not find any differences in the genitalia morphology between the phenotypes (Fig 5a-f), the genetic divergence was only 0.007% across the phenotypes and these phenotypes are not reciprocally monophyletic. A similar case was also observed in the populations of E. difficilis, which had two wing phenotypes ('typical' vs. 'sprinkled pattern'), but no differences in the genitalia morphology. Interestingly, intraspecific genetic divergences within Emeryus argulus and E. difficilis (0-6.8%) are relatively similar to those found in some species of Yphthimoides (0-8% - Barbosa et al. 2015, 2016) and Amiga (c. 6% – Nakahara et al. 2019a) but higher than has been reported in other Euptychiina genera, such as Hermeuptychia (0-3% - Seraphim et al. 2013), Nhambikuara (0-2% - Freitas et al. 2018), Moneuptychia and Cissia (0-3.5% - Freitas et al. 2015; Zacca et al. 2018). Considering the significant variation in the wing pattern, the homogeneous morphology of the genitalia and high intraspecific genetic divergence, (Fig. 11) future studies examining the population structure of Emeryus argulus and E. difficilis in greater depth would be valuable to explore the possibility of cryptic diversity within each species.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Gerardo Lamas (Museu de Historia Natural, Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos, Lima, Peru) for making available the photos of Neotropical butterfly type specimens figured in the Butterflies of America website and sharing data from specimens deposited at MUSM; Wouter Dekoninck and Stefan Kerkhof for information on Capronnier's types at RBINS; Robert K. Robbins (USNM), Rodolphe Rougerie (MNHN), Wolfram May (ZSMS) and Christian Brévignon (LBCB) for allowing us to access the specimens deposited in the collections under their care; Franciele L. Bettim for help with some photos of the male genitalia made at the TaxonLine-UFPR; André C. Lopes for the photos of some pinned specimens of Emeryus at ZUEC; Mario Marín, Augusto Rosa and Renato Ramos for the specimens collected in the expedition to Barcelos, and the latter two for photos and information on the habitat of E. argulus; Renato Mattei and Andrew Neild for photos and information on E. argulus in Venezuela; David Geale and Kim Garwood for sharing the photo of E. argulus in Guiana; Mario Marín for his valuable help with the phylogenetic analysis; and Marianne Espeland for sharing her phylogenomic tree of Euptychiina and sequences of some species. We are also grateful to the valuable suggestions made by the anonymous reviewers. We thank the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) for the fellowships granted to TZ (140225/2013-7), OHHM (304639/2014-10) and MMC (30208/2017-2). TZ (2017/02264-6, 2019/14735-9) and EPB (2016/15873-8, 2018/21432-0) thank Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP) for post-doc fellowships. We acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation (DEB-1256742). AVLF acknowledges the Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade (ICMBio) for providing a research permit (SISBIO no. 10802-5), the FAPESP (Biota-FAPESP grants 2011/50225-3, 2012/50260-6 and 2013/50297-0), the Brazilian CNPq (563332/2010-7 and 303834/2015-3) and United States Agency for International Development (USAID) and the U.S. National Academy of Sciences (NAS) under the PEER program (Mapping and Conserving Butterfly Biodiversity in the Brazilian Amazon - Sponsor Grant Award Number: AID-OAA-A-11-00012). This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) - Finance Code 001. Access register at Sistema Nacional de Gestão do Patrimônio Genético e do Conhecimento Tradicional Associado (SisGen) - #A77ED04.

REFERENCES

- Ackery PR. 1998. Hostplants and classification: a review of nymphalid butterflies. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 33, 95–203.
- Ackery PR, De Jong R & Vane-Wright RI. 1999. The butterflies: Hedyloidea, Hesperioidea and Papilionoidea. In: *Lepidoptera, Moths ands Butterflies. Vol.1: Evolution, Systematics and Biogeography* (ed. NP Kristensen 4 35, Berlin: Berlims: W. de Gruyter), p.236-300. In: *Hanbuch der Zoologie* (ed. Fischer M). Berlims: W. de Gruyter, v.4: Arthropoda: Insecta. Part 35.
- Andrade-C MG, Viloria AL, Henao-Bañol ER & Le Crom J-F. 2019. Generic reassignments of satyrine butterflies from Colombia and Venezuela (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae). *Anartia* 28, 26–46.
- Austin GT & Mielke OHH. 2008. Hesperiidae of Rondônia, Brazil: *Porphyrogenes*Watson (Lepidoptera: Pyrginae: Eudamini), with descriptions of new species fromCentral and South America. *Insecta Mundi* 44, 1–56.
- Barbosa EP, Marín MA, Giraldo CE, Uribe S& Freitas AVL. 2016. Description of two new species of the Neotropical genus *Yphthimoides* Forster, 1964 (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae: Satyrinae) from the 'renata clade'. *Neotropical Biodiversity* 2(1), 87–98.
- Barbosa EP, Siewert RR, Mielke OHH, Lamas G, Willmott KR & Freitas AVL. 2018. Redescription of *Yphthimoides patricia* (Hayward, 1957), with taxonomic notes on the names *Euptychia saltuensis* Hayward, 1962 and *Yphthimoides manasses* (C. Felder & R. Felder, 1867) (Nymphalidae: Satyrinae). *Zootaxa* 4422 (4), 537–557.
- Barbosa EP, Silva AK, Paluch M, Azeredo-Espin AML & Freitas AVL. 2015. Uncovering the hidden diversity of the Neotropical butterfly genus *Yphthimoides* Forster (Nymphalidae: Satyrinae): description of three new species based on morphological and molecular data. Organisms, Diversity & Evolution 15 (3), 577–589.
- Barlow J, Araujo IS, Overal WL et al. 2008. Diversity and composition of fruit-feeding butterflies in tropical Eucalyptus plantations. Biodiversity Conservation 17, 1089–1104.

- Benson DA, Cavanaugh M, Clark K et al 2013. GenBank. Nucleic Acids Research 41 (D1), D36–D42. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1195
- Braby M, Eastwood R & Murray N. 2012. The subspecies concept in butterflies: has its application in taxonomy and conservation biology outlived its usefulness? *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **106**, 699–716.
- Brévignon C. 2005. Description de nouveau Satyrinae provenant de Guyane française (Lepidoptera, Nymphalidae). Lambillionea 105(3) (1), 393– 404.
- Brévignon C. 2008. Inventaire des Satyrinae de Guyane française (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae). In: *Lépidoptères de Guyane. Tome 3. Rhopalocères*2 (eds D Lacomme & L Manil), pp. 62–94. Association des Lépidoptéristes de France, Paris.
- Brévignon C & Benmesbah M. 2012. Complément à l'inventaire des Satyrinae de Guyane (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae). In: Lépidoptères de Guyane. Tome 7. Nymphalidae (eds D Lacomme & L Manil), pp. 36–52. Association des Lépidoptéristes de France, Paris.
- Brown KS & Mielke OHH. 1967. Lepidoptera of the Central Brazil Plateau. I. Preliminary list of Rhopalocera: Introduction, Nymphalidae, Libytheidae. Journal of the Lepidopterists' Society 21 (2), 77–106.
- Bryk F. 1953. Lepidoptera aus dem Amazonasgebiete und aus Peru gesammelt von Dr. Douglas Melin und Dr. Abraham Roman. Arkiv för Zoologi 5 (1), 1–268.
- Butler AG. 1867. A monograph of the genus *Euptychia*, a numerous race of butterfliess belonging to the family Satyridae; with descriptions of sixty species new to science, and notes to their affisssnities, etc. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* 1866(3), 458–504.
- Butler AG. 1868. Catalogue of Diurnal Lepidoptera of the Family Satyridae in the Collection of the British Museum. Taylor and Francis, London.
- Butler AG. 1869. Lepidoptera Exotica, or Descriptions and Illustrations of Exotic Lepidoptera. E. W. Janson, London.
- Butler AG. 1877. On new species of the genus *Euptychia*, with a tabular view of those hitherto recorded. *Journal of the Linnean Society of London (Zoology)* **13** (67), 116–128.
- Cardé RT, Shapiro AM & Clench HK. 1970. Sibling species in the *Eurydice* group of *Lethe* (Lepidoptera: Satyridae). *Psyche* 77 (1), 70–103.
- Chernomor O, von Haeseler A & Minh BQ. 2016. Terrace aware data structure for phylogenomic inference from supermatrices. *Systematic Biology* 65, 997–1008.
- Clarke HD, Funk VA & Hollowell T. 2001. Using checklists and collections data to investigate plant diversity. I: A comparative checklist of the plant diversity of the Iwokrama forest, Guyana. *Sida, Botanical Miscellany* 21, 1–86.
- Comstock JH. 1918. *The Wings of Insects*, p. 430. Comstock PublishingCompany, New York.
- Comstock JH & Needham JG. 1898–99. The wings of insects. American Naturalist 32(374), 81–89.
- Costa M, Viloria AL, Attal S, Neild AFE, Fratello SA & Nakahara S. 2016. Lepidoptera del Pantepui. Parte III. Nuevos Nymphalidae Cyrestinae y Satyrinae. Bulletin de la Société entomologique de France 121 (2), 179–206.
- Cramer P. 1779.De uitlandische Kapellen voorkomende in de drie Waereld-Deelen Asia, Africa en America. Papillons exotiques des trois parties du monde l'Asie, l'Afrique et l'Amérique. Amsteldam, S. J. Baalde; Utrecht, Barthelemy Wild and J. Van Schoonhoven & Comp., 3 (17/22), 1–128.
- DeVries PJ. 1987. *The butterflies of Costa Rica and their natural history*. Vol.1. Princeton University Press, Princeton: 288 pp. Emery EO, Brown KS & Pinheiro CEG. 2006.As borboletas (Lepidoptera, Papilionoidea) do Distrito Federal, Brasil. *Revista Brasileira de Entomologia* **50** (1), 85–92.
- Espeland M, Breinholt JW, Barbosa EP et al. 2019. Four hundred shades of brown: Higher level phylogeny of the problematic Euptychiina (Lepidoptera, Nymphalidae, Satyrinae) based on hybrid enrichment data. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 131, 116–124.
- Felder C & Felder R. 1867. Reise der österreichischen Fregatte Novara um die Erde in den Jahren 1857, 1858, 1859 unter den Befehlen des Commodore B. von Wüllerstorf-Urbair. Zoologischer Theil. Zweiter Band. Zweite Abtheilung: Lepidoptera. *Carl Gerold's Sohn, Wien* 3, 379–536.
- Forster W. 1964. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Insektenfauna Boliviens XIX. Lepidoptera III. Satyridae. Veröffentlichungen der zoologischen Staatssammlung München 8, 51–188.

- Freitas AVL. 2007. A new species of *Moneuptychia* Forster (Lepidoptera: Satyrinae, Euptychiina) from the Highlands of Southeastern Brazil. *Neo-tropical Entomology* **36** (6), 919–925.
- Freitas AVL, Barbosa EP, Santos JP & Mielke OHH. 2013. A new genus, *Atlanteuptychia* gen. nov., for *Euptychia ernestina* (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae: Satyrinae). *Zoologia* **30** (6), 661–668.
- Freitas AVL, Barbosa EP, Siewert RR, Mielke OHH, Zacca T & Azeredo-Espin AM. 2015. Four new species of *Moneuptychia* (Lepidoptera: Satyrinae: Euptychiina) from Brazil. *Zootaxa* 3981 (4), 521–541.
- Freitas AVL, Barbosa EP, Willmott KR, Wahlberg N & LamasG. 2016. "Species" from two different butterfly genera combined into one: description of a new genus of Euptychiina (Nymphalidae: Satyrinae). *Revista Brasileira de Entomologia* **60** (2), 157–165.
- Freitas AVL, Barbosa EP, Zacca T *et al.* 2018. Before it is too late: description of a new genus and species of butterfly from a highly threatened Brazilian biome. *Revista Brasileira de Entomologia* **62**, 148–158.
- Freitas AVL, Emery EO & Mielke OHH. 2010. A new species of Moneuptychia Forster (Lepidoptera: Satyrinae: Euptychiina) from Central Brazil. Neotropical Entomology 39 (1), 83–90.
- Freitas AVL, Kaminski LA, Mielke OHH, Barbosa EP & Silva-Brandão K. 2012. A new species of *Yphthimoides* (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae: Satyrinae) from the southern Atlantic forest region. *Zootaxa* 3526, 31–44.
- Freitas AVL, Mielke OHH, Moser A, Silva-Brandão K & Iserhard CA. 2011. A new genus and species of Euptychiina (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae: Satyrinae) from Southern Brazil. *Neotropical Entomology* **40** (2), 231–237.
- Freitas AVL, Mota LL, Zacca T & Barbosa EP. 2019. Description of a new and highly distinctive genus and species of Euptychiina (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae: Satyrinae) from the Brazilian southern Amazon. *Revista Brasileira de Entomologia* 63, 254–261.
- Gaede M. 1931. Familia Satyridae. Lepidopterum Catalogus 46, 321-544.
- GIMP Team 2019. GIMP GNU Image Manipulation Program. Available from URL: https://www.gimp.org (Accessed January 2019).
- Godart JB. 1824. Genre Satyre. In: Encyclopédie Méthodique 9, 2 (PA Latreille & JB Godart Panckoucke), pp. 456–477. 2. Panckoucke, Paris.
- Guindon S, Dufayard J-F, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W & Gascuel O. 2010. New Algorithms and Methods to Estimate Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies: Assessing the Performance of PhyML 3.0. Systematic Biology 59 (3), 307–321. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/sysbio/syq010
- Grimshaw PH. 1897. On some type specimens of Lepidoptera and Coleoptera in the Edinburgh Museum of Science and Art. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh* **39**, 1–11.
- Hall A. 1939. Catalogue of the Lepidoptera Rhopalocera (butterflies) of British Guiana. *Agricultural Journal of British Guiana* **10** (1), 25–41.
- Henao-Bañol ER. 2019. Dos nuevas espécies de mariposas (Nymphalidae: Satyrinae) de la región Amazónica Colombiana. Boletín Científico del Museo de Historia Natural de La Universidad de Caldas 23 (2), 193–207.
- Henao-Bañol ER & Meneses LH. 2017. Uma nueva especies de Pharneuptychia Forster, 1964 del Área Natural Única Los Estoraques – norte de Santander, Colombia – (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae, Satyrinae). Boletín Científico del Museo de Historia Natural de La Universidad de Caldas 21 (2), 173–183.
- Hoang DT, Chernomor O, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ & Vinh LS. 2018. UFBoot2: Improving the ultrafast bootstrap approximation. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 35, 518–522.
- Hübner J. 1819. Verzeichniss bekannter Schmettlinge [sic]. Augsburg 2-8, 17–128.
- Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TKF, von Haeseler A & Jermiin LS. 2017. ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nature Methods* 14, 587–589.
- Kimura M. 1980. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 16, 111–120.
- Kirby WF. 1871. A Synonymic Catalogue of Diurnal Lepidoptera. John Van Voorst, London.
- Klots AB. 1970. Lepidoptera. In: Taxonomist's Glossary of Genitalia in Insects (ed SL Tuxen), pp. 115–130. Munksgaard, Copenhagen.

522 T Zacca et al.

- Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C & Tamura K. 2018. Mega X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across Computing Platforms. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **35** (6), 1547–1549.
- Lamas G. 2004. Nymphalidae. Satyrinae. Tribe Satyrini. Subtribe Euptychiina. In: Checklist: Part 4A. Hesperioidea – Papilionoidea (ed G Lamas) pp. 217. In: Atlas of Neotropical Lepidoptera, Vol. 5A (ed JB Heppner). Scientific Publishers, Association for Tropical Lepidoptera, Gainesville.
- Mallet J. 1995. A species definition for the modern synthesis. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 10, 294–299.
- Mallet J. 2001. Species, concepts of. In: *Encyclopedia of Biodiversity*, Vol. 5 (ed SA Levin), pp. 427–440. Academic Press, San Diego.
- Marín MA, Peña C, Uribe SI & Freitas AVL. 2017. Morphology agrees with molecular data: phylogenetic affinities of Euptychiina butterflies (Nymphalidae: Satyrinae). *Systematic Entomology* **42**, 768–785.
- Matos-Maraví PF, Peña C, Willmott KR, Freitas AVL & Wahlberg N. 2013. Systematics and evolutionary history of butterflies in the "*Taygetis* clade" (Nymphalidae: Satyrinae: Euptychiina): towards a better understand of Neotropical biogeography. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 66, 54–68.
- Mielke OHH & Casagrande MM. 1992. Lepidoptera: Papilionoidea e Hesperioidea coletados na Ilha de Maracá, Alto Alegre, Roraima, parte do projeto Maracá, com uma lista complementar de Hesperiidae de Roraima. Acta Amazônica 21, 175–210.
- Mielke OHH & Casagrande MM. 1998. Butterflies of the Ilha de Maracá. In: *The Biodiversity and Environment of an Amazonian Rainforest* (eds W Milliken & JA Ratter) pp. 355–359, 467–478. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester.
- Motta PC. 2002. Butterflies from the Uberlândia region, Central Brazil: species list and biological comments. *Brazilian Journal of Biology* 62 (1), 151–163.
- Murray DL & Prowell DP. 2005. Molecular phylogenetics and evolutionary history of the neotropical satyrine subtribe Euptychiina (Nymphalidae: Satyrinae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 34 (1), 67–80.
- Nakahara S, Barbosa EP, Marín MA, Freitas AVL, Pomerantz T & Willmott KR. 2016b. *Graphita* gen. nov., a new genus for *Neonympha griphe* C. Felder & R. Felder, 1867 (Lepidoptera, Nymphalidae, Satyrinae). *Neo-tropical Entomology* 45 (6), 675–691.
- Nakahara S, Hall JPW, Lamas G & Willmott KR. 2015b. Seven new species and one new subspecies of *Euptychia* Hübner, 1818 (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae: Satyrinae) from the tropical Andes. *Tropical Lepidoptera Research* 25 (2), 63–79.
- Nakahara S, Janzen DH, Hallwachs W & Espeland M. 2015a. Description of a new genus for Euptychia hilara (C. Felder & R. Felder, 1867) (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae: Satyrinae). Zootaxa 4012 (3), 525–541.
- Nakahara S, Lamas G, Tyler S et al. 2019a. A revision of the new genus Amiga Nakahara, Willmott & Espeland, gen. n., described for Papilio arnica Fabricius, 1776 (Lepidoptera, Nymphalidae, Satyrinae). ZooKeys 821, 85–152.
- Nakahara S, Marín MA & Neild AFE. 2016a. A new species of *Pareuptychia* Forster, 1964 (Lepidoptera, Nymphalidae, Satyrinae) from the northwestern Amazon basin with characterization of two potential synapomorphies for the genus. *Tropical Lepidoptera Research* **26** (1), 6–12.
- Nakahara S, Matos-Maraví P, Barbosa EP, Willmott KR, Lamas G & Freitas AVL. 2019b. Two new species of *Taygetina* with a possible case of 'juxta loss' in butterflies (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae: Satyrinae). *Insect Systematics and Diversity* **3** (6), 1–13.
- Nakahara S, Willmott KR, Mielke OHH et al. 2018. Seven new taxa from the butterfly subtribe Euptychina (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae: Satyrinae) with revisional notes on *Harjesia* Forster, 1964 and *Pseudeuptychia* Forster, 1964. *Insecta Mundi* **0639**, 1–38.
- Neild AFE. 1996. The butterflies of Venezuela. Part 1: NymphalidaeI (Limenitidinae, Apaturinae, Charaxinae). A comprehensiveguide to the identification of adult Nymphalidae, Papilionidae, and Pieridae, p. 144. Meridian Publications, Greenwich, London.
- Nguyen L-T, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A & Minh BQ. 2015. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum likelihood phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **32**, 268–274.
- Peña C & Lamas G. 2005. Revision of the butterfly genus Forsterinaria Gray, 1973 (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae, Satyrinae). Revista peruana de biologia 12 (1), 5–48.

- Peña C, Nylin S, Freitas AVL & Wahlberg N. 2010. Biogeographic history of the butterfly subtribe Euptychiina (Lepidoptera, Nymphalidae, Satyrinae). *Zoologica Scripta* **39**, 243–258.
- Peña C, Nylin S & Wahlberg N. 2011. The radiation of Satyrini butterflies (Nymphalidae: Satyrinae): a challenge for phylogenetic methods. *Zoo-logical Journal of the Linnean Society* **161**, 64–87.
- Peña C, Wahlberg N, Weingartner E et al. 2006. Higher level phylogeny of Satyrinae butterflies (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae) based on DNA sequence data. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 40, 29–49.
- Petersen W. 1904. Die Morphologie der Generationsorgane derSchmetterlinge und ihre Bedeutung für die Artbildung. Mémoiresde L'Académie Impériale dês Sciences de St. Pétersbourg 8, 1–84.
- Pierce FN. 1914. The genitalia of the group Geometridae of theLepidoptera of the British Islands, p. 230. The Elms, Dingle, Liverpool.
- Pinheiro CEG & Emery EO. 2006. As borboletas (Lepidoptera: Papilionoidea e Hesperioidea) da Área de Proteção Ambiental do Gama e Cabeça de Veado (Distrito Federal, Brasil). *Biota Neotropica* 6 (3), 1–15.
- QGIS Development Team 2016. QGIS Geographic InformationSystem. Open Source Geospatial Foundation Project. Availab from URL: http:// qgis.osgeo.org [Accessed June 2016].
- Queiroz-Santos L, Dias FMS, Dell'Erba R, Casagrande MM & Mielke OHH. 2016. Assessment of the current state of biodiversity data for butterflies and skippers in state of Mato Grosso, Brazil (Lepidoptera, Papilionoidea and Hesperioidea). Zookeys 595, 147–161.
- Seraphim N, Marin MA, Freitas AVL & Silva-Brandão KL. 2013. Morphological and molecular marker contributions to disentangling the cryptic *Hermeuptychia hermes* species complex (Nymphalidae: Satyrinae: Euptychiina). *Molecular Ecology Resources* 14, 39–49.
- Sharpe EMB. 1890. On a collection of Lepidoptera made by Mr. Edmund Reynolds on the rivers Tocantins and Araguaya and in the province of Goyaz, Brazil. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* 1890 (3), 552–577.
- Siewert RR, Zacca T, Dias FMS, Freitas AVL, Mielke OHH & Casagrande MM. 2013. The "*Taygetis yphthima* species group" (Lepidoptera, Nymphalidae, Satyrinae): taxonomy, variation and description of a new species. *ZooKeys* 356, 11–29.
- Silva ARM, Guimarães MPM, Vitalino RF et al. 2010. Borboletas frugívoras do Parque Estadual do Rio Doce/MG. MGBIOTA 3 (4), 5–21.
- Silva-Brandão KL, Freitas AVL, Brower AVZ & Solferini VN. 2005. Phylogenetic relationships of the New World Troidini swallowtails (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae) based on COI, COII, and EF-1α genes. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 26, 468–483.
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A & Kumar S. 2013. MEGA6: Molecular Evolutionary Genetic Analysis version 6.0. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **30** (12), 2725–2729.
- Thompson JD, Higgins DG & Gibson TJ. 1994. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Research* 22, 4673–4680.
- Wahlberg N, Leneveu J, Kodandaramaiah U et al. 2009. Nymphalid butterflies diversify following near demise at the Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series B: Biological Sciences 276, 4295–4302.
- Wahlberg N & Wheat CW. 2008. Genomic outposts serve the phylogenomic pioneers: designing novel nuclear markers for genomic DNA extractions of Lepidoptera. *Systematic Biology* 57 (2), 231–242.
- Westwood JO. 1851. Satyridae. In: The Genera of Diurnal Lepidoptera: Comprising Their Generic Characters, a Notice of Their Habitats and Transformations, and a Catalogue of the Species of Each Genus (ed E Doubleday), pp. 352–376. Longmans, Brown, Green & Longmans, London.
- Weymer G. 1910–1913. 4. Familie: Satyridae. In: Die Gross-Schmetterlinge der Erde (ed A Seitz), pp. 173–2835. A. Kernen, Stuttgart.
- Zacca T, Casagrande MM, Mielke OHH et al. 2020. Systematics of the Neotropical butterfly genus Paryphthimoides Forster, 1964 (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae: Satyrinae), with descriptions of seven new taxa. Insect Systematics & Evolution, 1–55. https://doi.org/10.1163/1876312X-00001027
- Zacca T, Mielke OHH, Pyrcz TW, Casagrande MM, Freitas AVL & Boyer P. 2013. *Stegosatyrus*, a new genus of Euptychiina from the grasslands of neotropical realm (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae: Satyrinae). *Zootaxa* 3682 (2), 331–350.

- Zacca T, Casagrande MM, Mielke OHH et al. 2018. Systematics of the butterfly genus Cissia Doubleday, 1848 (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae: Satyrinae) using an integrative approach. Arthropod Systematics & Phylogeny 76(2), 349–376.
- Zacca T, Paluch M, Siewert R et al. 2017. Revision of Godartiana Forster (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae), with the description of a new species from northeastern Brazil. Austral Entomology 56 (2), 169–190.
- Zacca T, Siewert RR, Mielke OHH & Casagrande MM. 2014. A new species of *Magneuptychia* Forster, 1964 (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae: Satyrinae) from Brazilian Savanna. *Zootaxa* 3795(1), 71–78.

Accepted for publication 26 May 2020.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may/can be found online in the supporting information tab for this article.

Data S1. Supporting info item